



**DECISION AND STATEMENT OF REASONS OF JOSEPHINE BONNAR,
LEGAL MEMBER OF THE FIRST-TIER TRIBUNAL WITH DELEGATED
POWERS OF THE CHAMBER PRESIDENT**

**Under Rule 8 of the First-tier Tribunal for Scotland Housing and Property
Chamber Rules of Procedure 2017 ("the Rules")**

in connection with

124 Keltyhill Avenue, Kelty, Fife ("the Property")

Case Reference: FTS/HPC/EV/20/0778

**David Clegg, Jeannie Clegg, Kingdom Letting Ltd, Suite 48, Rosyth Business
Centre, 16 Cromarty Campus, Rosyth ("the Applicant")**

Christopher Young, 124 Keltyhill Avenue, Kelty, Fife ("the Respondent")

1. By application received on 4 March 2020 the Applicant seeks an eviction order in terms of Rule 109 of the Rules and Section 51(1) of the Private Housing (Tenancies) (Scotland) Act 2016 ("the 2016 Act"). The Applicant lodged a private residential tenancy agreement and Notice to Leave in support of the application. The Notice to Leave is dated 17 January 2020. The date specified in Part 4 of the Notice, as the earliest date an application can be made to the Tribunal, is 14 February 2020.
2. On 27 April, 21 May and 7 July 2020 the Tribunal issued requests for further information to the Applicant. The Applicant was asked to confirm how and when the Notice to leave was served on the Respondent, and to provide evidence of this. They were also advised that the date specified in Part 4 of the Notice to leave appeared to be incorrect, and were asked to clarify the position regarding

the validity of the Notice. In response the Applicant advised that the Notice had been sent by recorded delivery post, but that this had not been successfully delivered. They also advised that it had been sent by email and been hand delivered. On 28 July 2020 the Applicant was asked to provide a copy of the email and/or information regarding the hand delivery of the Notice. On 10 August 2020, the Applicant advised that they were unable to provide a copy of the email but produced a screen shot of a text message from the Respondent in response to same. The text message is undated. The Applicant also advised that the Notice had been hand delivered the day before the text message, although they did not provide the date. On 1 September 2020, the Tribunal asked the Applicant to confirm the date of the text message. In their response they advised that it was 5 March 2020. The Tribunal wrote again to the Applicant seeking clarification of this date as it was inconsistent with the dates on the Notice to Leave. In response the Applicant stated that the Notice had been hand delivered on 18 January 2020, the same date that it was sent by recorded delivery post, although the posted copy had not been delivered. .

DECISION

3. The Legal Member considered the application in terms of Rule 8 of the Chamber Procedural Rules. That Rule provides:-

“Rejection of application

8.—(1) The Chamber President or another member of the First-tier Tribunal under the delegated powers of the Chamber President, must reject an application if—

(a) they consider that the application is frivolous or vexatious;

(b) the dispute to which the application relates has been resolved;

(c) they have good reason to believe that it would not be appropriate to accept the application;

(d) they consider that the application is being made for a purpose other than a purpose specified in the application; or

(e) the applicant has previously made an identical or substantially similar application and in the opinion of the Chamber President or another member of the First-tier Tribunal, under the delegated powers of the Chamber President, there has been no significant change in any material considerations since the identical or substantially similar application was determined.

(2) Where the Chamber President, or another member of the First-tier Tribunal, under the delegated powers of the Chamber President, makes a decision under paragraph (1) to reject an application the First-tier Tribunal must notify the applicant and the notification must state the reason for the decision.”

- 4. After consideration of the application and the documents submitted by the Applicant in support of same, the Legal Member considers that the application should be rejected on the basis that it is frivolous within the meaning of Rule 8(1)(a) of the Rules.**

Reasons for Decision

5. 'Frivolous' in the context of legal proceedings is defined by Lord Justice Bingham in *R v North West Suffolk (Mildenhall) Magistrates Court*, (1998) Env LR9. He indicated at page 16 of the judgment; *"What the expression means in this context is, in my view, that the court considers the application to be futile, misconceived, hopeless or academic"*. It is that definition which the Legal Member has considered as the test in this application, and on consideration of this test, the Legal Member considers that this application is frivolous, misconceived and has no prospect of success.
6. The Notice to Leave which accompanies the application is dated 17 January 2020. Although the Notice was apparently sent by recorded delivery post and email, the former was unsuccessful and the Applicant is unable to provide evidence of the latter. The Applicant has also stated that it was hand delivered on 18 January 2020. Part 4 of the Notice states that "An application will not be submitted to the Tribunal for an eviction order before 14 February 2020. This is the earliest date that Tribunal proceedings can start and will be at least the day

after the end date of the relevant notice period (28 days or 84 days depending on the eviction ground or how long you have occupied the let property)". The relevant sections of the 2016 Act are as follows:-

52 Applications for eviction orders and consideration of them

...

(2) The Tribunal is not to entertain an application for an eviction order if it is made in breach of—

(a) subsection (3), or

(b) any of sections 54 to 56 (but see subsection (4)).

(3) An application for an eviction order against a tenant must be accompanied by a copy of a notice to leave which has been given to the tenant.

54 Restriction on applying during the notice period

(1) A landlord may not make an application to the First-tier Tribunal for an eviction order against a tenant using a copy of a notice to leave until the expiry of the relevant period in relation to that notice.

(2) The relevant period in relation to a notice to leave—

(a) begins on the day the tenant receives the notice to leave from the landlord, and

(b) expires on the day falling—

(i) 84 days after it begins if subsection (3) does not apply.

...

(4) The reference in subsection (1) to using a copy of a notice to leave in making an application means using it to satisfy the requirement under section 52(3).

62 Meaning of notice to leave and stated eviction ground

(1) References in this Part to a notice to leave are to a notice which—

(a) is in writing,

(b) specifies the day on which the landlord under the tenancy in question expects to become entitled to make an application for an eviction order to the First-tier Tribunal,

(c) states the eviction ground, or grounds, on the basis of which the landlord proposes to seek an eviction order in the event that the tenant does not vacate the let property before the end of the day specified in accordance with paragraph (b), and

(d) fulfils any other requirements prescribed by the Scottish Ministers in regulations.

...

(4) The day to be specified in accordance with subsection (1)(b) is the day falling after the day on which the notice period defined

in section 54(2) will expire.

7. For the purposes of section 62(1)(d), the relevant regulations are the Private Residential Tenancies (Prescribed Notices and Forms) (Scotland) Regulations 2017, schedule 5 of which sets out the prescribed form for a notice to leave. Part 4 of that form is set out as follows:

Part 4 THE END OF THE NOTICE PERIOD

An application will not be submitted to the Tribunal for an eviction order before (insert date). This is the earliest date that the Tribunal proceedings can start and will be at least the day after the end date of the relevant notice period (28 days or 84 days depending on the eviction ground or how long you have occupied the Let Property).

8. The Legal Member notes that the Notice to leave appears to have been hand delivered to the Respondent on the 18 January 2020. In terms of section 62(4) of the 2016 Act the Notice must state a date being **“the day falling after the day on which the notice period defined in section 54(2) will expire.”** In this case, that date was 16 February 2020. Therefore, in order to comply with section 62(4), the date which ought to have been specified in the notice was 16 February 2020.
9. The opening words of Section 62 indicate that a Notice to Leave has to fulfil the four requirements specified in Sections (a) to (d) of that section. It follows that a Notice to Leave which does not fulfil these requirements is not a “Notice to leave” in terms of the 2016 Act. As the application to the Tribunal has to be accompanied by a “Notice to Leave”, it appears that the Applicant has failed to comply with Section 52 of the 2016 Act and, as a result, the Tribunal cannot entertain the application.
10. The Legal Member therefore determines that the application is frivolous, misconceived and has no prospect of success. The application is rejected on that basis.

What you should do now

If you accept the Legal Member's decision, there is no need to reply.

If you disagree with this decision –

An applicant aggrieved by the decision of the Chamber President, or any Legal Member acting under delegated powers, may appeal to the Upper Tribunal for Scotland on a point of law only. Before an appeal can be made to the Upper Tribunal, the party must first seek permission to appeal from the First-tier Tribunal. That party must seek permission to appeal within 30 days of the date the decision was sent to them. Information about the appeal procedure can be forwarded to you on request.

Josephine Bonnar
Legal Member
14 September 2020